# 41. Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 198 

OE Temporale Homilies

[Ker 48, Gneuss 64]

HISTORY: A fat collection of homilies arranged temporale, beginning at Christmas and ending at June. A core of homilies (Part I) from the first half of the 11c was augmented contemporarily by the production of booklets (Part II) inserted at the chronologically appropriate space in the core, or added on. In turn, in the second half of the llc, further booklets (Part III) were inserted or added showing a homiliary developing and expanding over the century, probably in an unbound state (cf. Robinson in Richards 1994: 31-33; Scragg 2012: nos. 111-24; Stokes 2014: 214). The initial core of the collection (Part I: ff. 1-149, 160-217, 248-295) dates from the first half of the 11c and was written by four main scribes (Scribes 1-4), with a small contribution by another (Scribe 6). The homilies shared with the Vercelli Book, Vercelli, Biblioteca Capitolare CXVII [482], drew on a southeastern exemplar (items 1, 7, 19, 25; see Scragg 1992: xxviii). That the main part of Part I was copied (in part) from an exemplar of similar layout is suggested by features of Scribe 2's work such as: (1) the last line of f. 24r, where the words 'forðonðe hi' (at ed. Clemoes 1997: 220/100) have been spaced out to take up as much of the line as possible, and the bottom line of f. 24 v has been left blank; and (2) the writing in Quire XXIII (ff. 176-83) is of 25 lines per page on ff.176-81, but 26 lines per page on ff. 182-3, despite the prick-marks showing preparation for 26 lines thoughout the quire. In Part I, each scribe copied by the quire, irrespective of whether the beginning of a homily coincided with the beginning of a quire (which it usually did not) and the division of Part I into two groups of quires seems to have originally had no intended function (Robinson in Richards 1994: 32). The contents of Part I (items 1-7, 9-43) are very similar to those in Oxford, Bodleian Library Bodley $340+342$ [358] (arts. 1-7, 9-32, 42-6, 48-53; Sisam 1953: 154-6), except that item 8 here is from Ælfric, whereas in Bodley 340 it is not. Bodley $340+342$ was written in the early 11 c and may have been copied
in Canterbury or Rochester, again suggesting a south-eastern exemplar (on Bodley $340+342$, see Wilcox 2008).

This initial core (Part I) was then expanded as a continuation of the original compilation; this is clear as Scribe 6 continues at f. 288 the work of Scribe 4, which finished on f. 287 at the end of quire XXXVI. Four or five nearly contemporary scribes (Scribes 5-8; cf. Pope 1967: 1.22) also inserted material at appropriate places in Part I; this work comprises Part II (Booklets II.1, II.2, II.3, II.4; ff. 150-59, 218-47, 291-321, 328-50r, 360-66, 37894). This appears to be a deliberate expansion of the initial material, though according to Robinson (in Richards 1994:32) this expansion appears piecemeal with scribes not directly collaborating according to a planned design. This material too was probably copied (in part) from an exemplar of similar layout, as is suggested by the last line of f. 241v (end of quire XXX), where the word 'ahefednyss' (at ed. Godden 1979: 325/224) has had '-nyss' added below the end of the line.

Further additions (Part III: Booklets III.1, III.2; ff. 321v-27 and 36777) were then made in the second half of the 11 c , and these were written by three scribes (Scribes 9-11). Spellings in Part III of the manuscript (as 'mon', 'beorend', 'weorod', 'heafơ') suggest that it was written in the West Midlands (Worcester?); for contemporary activity at Worcester, see Gameson 1996. Shared textual and layout features for one item in Part III (art. 62) suggests a close relationship to Blickling Homily 10 (Swan 2006), to the point where it may be supposed that CCCC 198's rendition may have been written in the same scriptorium as the Blickling manuscript (Princeton, Princeton University Library, W.H. Scheide Collection MS 71 [439]; see Swan 2006; Scragg 1985: 313-15; Clayton 1998: 240).

Corrections (passages for insertion) occur in the hands of Scribe 3 on ff. $107 \mathrm{v}, 138 \mathrm{v}, 217 \mathrm{r}$, and Scribe 6 on f. 289r. The name 'æðelric' occurs in the top left-hand corner of f. 323 v in the hand of the text (Scribe 10). There are Latin annotations on ff. 20r, 24v, 255r. The Gospel text has been expanded in a hand of $12 / 13 \mathrm{c}$ on f . 150 r . The whole manuscript was at Worcester by the 13 c (if not before) when the table of contents was completed and the manuscript was glossed throughout by the "Tremulous Hand" (Franzen 1991: 51-3; Schipper 1985). Origins for the compilation as a whole are unclear. Early provenance in Worcester has often been taken as implying a Worcester origin (as suggested in Dumville 1993: 68n. 303 and Stokes 2014: 28 n .84 and 99 ) especially taken in light of the later 11 c additions of Part III in a West Midlands dialect; however Pope points out that the style of writing in Part I, as well as its limited range of access to Ælfric's work, compared to similar homiletic collections, suggest that the origin is not Worcester (1967:
1.21-22). The affiliations between CCCC 198 and the Blickling Homilies in Part II (see Clayton 1998: 240) and for a homily in Part III (see Swan 2006) may suggest a shared origin, though this origin too is unknown. Swan suggests Hereford as a potential alternative to Worcester, at least for the later 11 c additions (Swan 2006: 93 et passim; cf. Scragg 1985: 313n.60).

John Joscelyn (1529-1603), Matthew Parker's Latin secretary, consulted and annotated the manuscript, foliated up to f. 360 , glossed interlinearally, and added notes at the start of homilies on other versions of their texts, providing variant readings from other manuscripts, including Oxford, Bodleian Library Hatton $113+114$ [384a +384 b] and Cambridge, Corpus Christi College 421 [59]. Budny (1997: 1.560-61) suggests that Joscelyn's side-by-side comparison with Hatton $113+114$ implies he must have worked on CCCC 198, at least in part, in Worcester, as Hatton 113+114 did not leave Worcester until the 17 c ; this work may date to the 1560 s and may mean that CCCC 198 did not leave Worcester until the third quarter of the 16 c .

Matthew Parker (1504-1575) acquired the book, and intervened in it in typical fashion, foliating-or retracing Joscelyn's foliation-adding catchwords on several leaves, underlining text, and adding leaves, contents lists, and providing a title for the volume. Parker likewise provided a fron-tispiece-perhaps from another volume-as he was wont to do; f. ii is a singleton affixed before the first homily, and on the recto is a later 11c drawing of six apostles (Wormald 1952: no. 8). This seems to be a displaced leaf from another volume, though Budny (1997: 1.559-60; Budny 1993: 29-30) has argued that the leaf is integrally medieval and may date to the additions of Part III. Parker had the manuscript rebound, as cropped annotations by Joscelyn, Parker's catchwords, and his re-foliation suggest, but in the 16c the manuscript presumably had a black binding as it is referred to as 'liber niger' in CCCC 421, p. v, and London, British Library, Cotton Cleopatra B.xiii [185], f. i verso. During Parker's ownership, there may have been losses from the book and slight shufflings of order, as erasures on the last leaves, the early contents lists, collation of Joscelyn's word lists, and offsets of now lost foliation show (Budny 1997: 1.562-63). Parker used the book (along with London, British Library Cotton Faustina A.ix [192] as the basis of his printing of Ælfric's Easter Homily in A Testimonie of Antiquitie (1566). It is Parker's 'Quartus liber homiliarum', S. 8 (f. i recto) in the list of books bequeathed by Parker to Cambridge, Corpus Christi College in 1575. William L'ssle (1569-1637) consulted the manuscript and copied some of its texts (Lee 2000: 234-35). The manuscript may have been repaired or rebound between 1748-50, as it was listed in an inventory of twenty-five books taken
out for binding work (Budny 1997: 1.564). Rebound and repaired again in 1930 at the British Museum in London.

CODICOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION: Ff. iii (modern paper supplied with the binding) + iii $+395+$ iv (paper, last three supplied with the present binding). Foliation in ink, starting on f. 1, running to f. 360 at top right corner of recto sides, probably by Joscelyn, often cropped, perhaps in the Parkerian re-binding; foliated again in Parkerian red crayon, top right recto; a third ink foliation is sporadic for the first 20 or so folios, usually where Joscelyn's ink has been cropped, perhaps pre-dating Parker's full new foliation. Parker's crayon misnumbers f. 223 as '123'; f. 224 was first marked ' 124 ' then corrected to ' 224 ', and f. 245 as ' 255 '. After f. 360, Parkerian crayon continues to the end of the book, but on some leaves an ink hand has traced over an earlier Parkerian crayon (as at f. 362), or entered a folio number that seems to precede the Parkerian foliation. This earlier set of foliations in the last part of the book is often amended. Verso of last A-S leaf (f. 394) is numbered ' 395 ' and cancelled. Membrane, except for the modern paper endleaves, and f . i , which is a Parkerian composite consisting of a 15 c membrane leaf with paper pasted on both sides. Leaves measuring $273 \times 135 \mathrm{~mm}$., framed written area generally $212 \times 129 / 112$ (outer/inner) mm., with 26 long lines, the top line containing writing, so that the depth of the written area (allowing for the top line of writing and descenders below the bottom line of writing) may extend to 220 mm . There are holes in the membrane on ff. $28,32,44,50,52,53,55,71,72,83,86,93$, $145,158,175,179,181,186,193,195,240,243,250$ (with the two sides of a tear stitched together), 261, 352, 367, 372; all of these are in Part I or II (ff. 158, 240, 243, 352, 367, 372). Lower half of f. 359, after end of a homily and thus likely blank, cut out. A sizeable patch probably caused by spilt liquid occurs on the last leaf of quire XLIX, f. 385 (applied on the verso, as it has gone through to some extent on to f. 384v). All quires HFHF, except (Scribe 2) VII (FHHF), VIII (FHFH), X (HFHH), and (Scribe 3) XV (HFFF), XVI (HFHH), and (Scribe 5) XXXI (HFF). Quires XX, XXV and L are HFHFH; Quire XIX is HFH. Quire XLVIII is F+F.

Pricking: Two pairs of prick-marks ( $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$. apart) for the double vertical frame-lines are visible usually either at the top or at the bottom of leaves. The prick-marks for 26 horizontal lines are visible near the outside edge of most leaves; Scribe 3 (Quires XII-XIX) tended to arc the line of prick-marks out from the top, and back in again at the bottom.

Ruling: Sometimes several leaves were ruled together, and the ruling in Part I is sometimes done so heavily that the (topmost) leaf is occasionally
cut through (and stitched back together), as on ff. 49, 95, and 167 (Scribes 2 and 3). In quire $V$ the ruling (by Scribe 2) was evidently done in sheets, as the outer leaf (ff. 32/39) shows a double frame rule with the verticals and the top and bottom horizontal lines extended to the outer edges, while the second sheet (ff. 33/38) shows double vertical frame lines only at the inner edges of the leaves, and the inner sheets (ff. 34/37, 35/36) show a single frame rule. The general pattern of ruling is set by Scribe 1, whose practice is regular; quires I-III have a double frame rule with the verticals and the top and bottom horizontal lines extended to the outer edges of the leaves. Slight variations in the work of Scribe 2, sometimes only single verticals rulings on one or both sides of the area for writing; in quire VII the bottom three horizontal lines are ruled to the outer edges, and in quire XI the writing goes to or from the outer vertical rule, so that the written area is slightly wider ( 116 mm .). In quire IV some horizontal lines have been extended crudely beyond the vertical frame towards the outer edge. In his second stint (quires XXI-XXV), a single frame rule is generally used, but in quires XXI and XXIV the vertical frame line is double on the outer edge of the outer leaf, and in quire XXV there is a double vertical frame rule on both the inner and outer edges of the outer leaf. He is also inconsistent in his practice regarding ruling the top and bottom horizontals to the outer edge: in quire XXI both are so ruled, while in quire XXII neither is so ruled, in quires XXIII and XXV only the bottom horizontal is so ruled, and in quire XXIV the bottom horizontal is ruled further across towards the prickmark, but not to the outer edge. When he rules a single rather than double vertical, then the line of writing is longer, e.g., on ff. 160/167 and ff. 192/201 where the width of the written area is 123 mm . rather than 108 mm . between inner verticals or 115 mm . between one inner and one outer vertical (where one of the inner verticals is not ruled). The basic pattern also varied in the work of Scribe 3, sometimes a double frame ruled on the outer sheet, but not on the inner sheets (quires XII, XIII, XIV, XVII), sometimes a double frame ruled on the outer two sheets (quire XV ), and sometimes a double frame ruled throughout (quires XVI, XVIII, XXVI, XXVII); usually the top and bottom (from quire XVII two) horizontal lines (as well as the vertical lines) are ruled to the outer edge of the leaf, and the horizontal lines also ruled to the inside edge of the leaf (across the sheet). When a single rather than double vertical is ruled, then the line of writing is longer, and is generally untidy in ending the line of writing neatly, so that there is an irregular appearance to the written area on the right-hand side of the page. Ruling shows a pattern in the work of Scribe 4. In quire XXXII a double frame was ruled on the outer sheet with the verticals and the top and bottom hori-
zontals ruled to the outer edges, but the inner sheets show a single frame. In quires XXXIII and XXXIV the arrangement is the same except that the outer two sheets show the double frame rule. In quire XXXV the ruling is the same except that now the bottom two horizontals are ruled to the outer edge of the leaf. In quire XXXVI there is a double frame rule throughout, with the top two and the bottom two horizontals ruled to the outer edge of the leaf. Ruling in the work of Scribe 5 (quires XX, XXVIII-XXXI) differs from that of all the other scribes in the manuscript, in that it is ruled for 23 horizontal lines, usually with writing on only 22 , thus leaving the bottom line unwritten. Although double prick-marks for the vertical frame lines are sometimes visible (e.g., on ff. 151,153 ) there is only a single frame ruled in line with the outer prick-marks; both the verticals and the top and bottom horizontals are ruled to the outer edge of the leaf. In quire XXX a wider written area is ruled than in Scribe 5's other quires ( 145 mm . rather than $134-39 \mathrm{~mm}$.) and 23 lines written on the page rather than 22 , but the scribe still has slight difficulty in fitting in all his textual material at the end of the quire (see above); in quire XXXI, he continues to write 23 lines. In the work of Scribe 6 (quires XXXVII-XLI) a double frame is ruled with the verticals and the top and bottom horizontals ruled to the outer edges of the leaves. Quire XLII (Scribe 7) is ruled for a double frame with the verticals and the second and penultimate horizontals ruled to the outer edges of the leaves, but in quires XLIII-XLIV (also quire XLIX) only the verticals are so ruled. Quire XLV shows the verticals and the top and bottom horizontals ruled to the outer edges. Scribe 8 apparently did no ruling. Quire XLVII (Scribe 9) which ruled with a double frame with the verticals and the top and the bottom horizontals extending to the outer edges of the leaves. Quire XLVIII, shows the same ruling, may also have been ruled by Scribe 9 (or possibly by Scribe 11). Scribe 10 apparently did no ruling.

Script and color: On f. iii recto, Scribe 1 (scribe of the first three quires), has laid out a list of contents (for the first 32 items of Part I) in a single column, amply spaced, with now faded green initial capitals for each item title in vernacular minuscule. Titles (or headings) in Part I are in metallic red capitals mixed with minuscules, probably by Scribe 5, as on ff. 1r, 7r, 12v, etc. Each homily opens with a large initial capital in metallic red, minimally embellished, although some are a bit more ornate; on f .128 v the initial ' M ' is green. Where homilies have a Latin pericope, the initial of the Latin is a larger capital in red. Main text in an English vernacular minuscule (first half of the 11c) in dark brown ink. Parts II and III essentially follow the same layout and script hierarchy, with titles in metallic red large letters (as on f. 360r: Scribe 5?) or capitals (as on f. 291v: Scribe 6). In Parts II and III
there is a preference for initial square capitals；on ff． 342 v and 350 r they are in black／brown；main text in an English vernacular minuscule nearly con－ temporary with Part I．Part III has three different ways texts begin：on f ． 321 v ，the text begins with a large slightly decorated large initial capital，with no title ；on f．367r，the text opens with a large red initial capital and the first line is in capitals in the same ink as the main text；on f．374v，the text starts with a red heading in capitals and a large red initial capital．The red ink in Part III is not the red lead metallic ink seen elsewhere，but a brighter or－ ganic red．Main text in a vernacular minuscule of the second half of the 11 c in dark brown／black．

An 11 c hand left the name＇æthelric＇at the top left corner of f．323v：an owner or reader？Neumed excerpt of the office for St．Guthlac entered in the second half of the 11 c on f .377 v ．A later 11c inscription added over an erasure at the end of a homily on f ． 321 r ，is a record by one＇Ulf＇，very faded and damaged．A drawing of a（？）dragon－head occurs at the bottom of f ． 253r，probably later medieval．

The manuscript is glossed and annotated throughout by the 13c ＂Tremulous Hand＂of Worcester，who filled out the original list of contents， and added glosses in English and Latin，seemingly in several campaigns at different stages in his career（see Franzen 1991：51－53；on some of the Latin and ME glosses，see Schipper 1985 and 1997）．Ker notes that in the same period（late 12 c and early 13 c ），other hands have added words at f． 150 r ，and headings at f .316 r and f .321 v ．There is a pointing hand on f .190 v ，perhaps early modern（acc．to Budny 1997：1．559）．Annotations by Joscelyn（e．g．， ＇habet（ur）in altero libro＇）occur on ff．7r，12v，21r，34v（referring to Hat－ ton 114，f．15r），64v，104r，122v，128v，196v，202r（referring to Hatton 114， f．92r），209r，213r，215r，231v，236v，242v，247v，253v，266r（＇est sermo 13 in ｜li〈bro〉 M（agistri）bower＇，i．e．William or Robert Bowyer，possibly refer－ ring to Bodley 342，f． 68 v ）， 278 v ， $281 \mathrm{r}, 306 \mathrm{v}$ ， 316 r ，also（glosses，etc．）on ff． $35 \mathrm{r}-36 \mathrm{r}$ ， 37 r ．Joscelyn added numbers above selected OE words（to provide entries in his wordlists）on ff．1r，7r－8r，153r，and 160r－165v（Graham 2000： 101）and provided folio numbers in the medieval list of contents．Parker added a title for the book on f ． $\mathrm{ii}^{*}$ recto and he added a list of contents on f ． ii＊verso．Annotations by Parker（e．g．，＇saul〈u〉s＇in pencil）occur on f． 288 v accompanied by underlining．Underlining of text with the passages marked by＇$X$＇in the margin occurs on ff． $7 \mathrm{r}-8 \mathrm{v}, 10 \mathrm{r}-11 \mathrm{r}, 23 \mathrm{r}, 30 \mathrm{v}-32 \mathrm{r}, 34 \mathrm{r}, 37 \mathrm{r}, 40 \mathrm{v}-$ $41 \mathrm{r}, 42 \mathrm{v}, 44 \mathrm{v}, 71 \mathrm{r}, 72 \mathrm{r}, 97 \mathrm{v}, 104 \mathrm{v}, 107 \mathrm{v}, 128 \mathrm{r}-129 \mathrm{r}, 130 \mathrm{r}, 136 \mathrm{r}, 137 \mathrm{r}-138 \mathrm{r}$ ， $139 \mathrm{r}-140 \mathrm{r}, 146 \mathrm{r}, 148 \mathrm{r}, 158 \mathrm{v}-159 \mathrm{r}, 167 \mathrm{v}, 196 \mathrm{r}, 222 \mathrm{r}, 231 \mathrm{r}, 234 \mathrm{v}$ ，238v，244v－ 245v，250r，251r，255r，257v，264r，273r－4r，277r，279v－80r，281r，285r－287v， 288 v ， 291 r ，296v，298r，309r，329r，331r（passage ringed，no＇ X ＇）．Catchwords
were added in red crayon on ff. 375 v and 376 v . Quire XXVIII (ff. 21825) containing Ælfric's sermon for Easter day, which was printed from this manuscript by Parker (and Joscelyn) in The Testament of Antiquitie [1566; STC 159.5], sigs C3v-H3v, is marked with the page-divisions of the printed book (Bromwich 1962: 266; Lucas 1997: 186). Paraph marks (to call attention to textual sub-divisions) have been added in the margin on ff. $49 \mathrm{v}, 50 \mathrm{v}$, $51 \mathrm{r}, 52 \mathrm{v}, 53 \mathrm{v}, 56 \mathrm{r}, 337 \mathrm{r}, 340 \mathrm{v}, 341 \mathrm{v}$; and in red on ff. $120 \mathrm{v}, 121 \mathrm{v}, 339 \mathrm{v}$. Parker also made erasures at the end of f .394 v , where a homily fragment began. It is likely that the confected first end leaf (f. i ), a composite of paper leaves glued to the recto and verso of a parchment leaf, taken from a 15 c kitchen account record (Budny 1997: 1.562), was added when Parker had the volume rebound. The present binding is in dark navy-blue almost black leather over boards, with ample turn-ins and plain paper pastedowns, with three heavy paper endleaves at the front and four at the back. Previous descriptions by James 1912: 1:475-81, and Budny 1997: 557-69, no.36.

COLLATION: iii (ff. a-c) modern paper endleaves; $0^{2+1}$ early modern membrane bifolium with late 11c membrane frontispiece inserted (f. ii), and composite parchment/paper endleaf (f. i); $\mathrm{I}^{8}$ (ff. iii, 1-7); $\mathrm{II}^{8}$ (ff. 8-15); $\mathrm{III}^{8}$ (ff. 16-23); $\mathrm{IV}^{8}$ (ff. 24-31); $\mathrm{V}^{8}$ (ff. 32-9); $\mathrm{VI}^{8}$ (ff. 40-7); $\mathrm{VII}^{8}$ (ff. 48-55); $\mathrm{VIII}^{8}$ (ff. 56-63); IX $^{8}$ (ff. 64-71); X $^{8}$ (ff. 72-79); XI ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 80-87); XII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 8895); XIII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 96-103); XIV $^{8}$ (ff. 104-111); XV $^{8}$ (ff. 112-119); XVI $^{8}$ (ff. 120127); XVII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 128-135); XVIII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 136-143); XIX ${ }^{6} 3$ and 4 half-sheets (ff. 144-149); $\mathrm{XX}^{10}$ (ff. 150-159); $\mathrm{XXI}^{8}$ (ff. 160-167); $\mathrm{XXII}^{8}$ (ff. 168-175); XXIII $^{8}$ (ff. 176-183); XXIV $^{8}$ (ff. 184-191); XXV ${ }^{10} 5$ and 6 half-sheets (ff. 192-201); XXVI $^{8}$ (ff. 202-9); XXVII $^{8}$ (ff. 210-217); XXVIII $^{8}$ (ff. 218-225); XXIX $^{8}$ (ff. 226-233); $\mathrm{XXX}^{8}$ (ff. 234-241); $\mathrm{XXXI}^{6+1}$ wants 6,5 is a half sheet added after 4 (f. 246) (ff. 242-247); XXXII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 248-255); XXXIII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 256263); XXXIV $^{8}$ (ff. 264-271); XXXV $^{8}$ (ff. 272-279); XXXVI $^{8}$ (ff. 280-287); XXXVII $^{8}$ (ff. 288-295); XXXVIII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 296-303); XXXIX ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 304-311); $\mathrm{XL}^{8}$ (ff. 312-319); $\mathrm{XLI}^{8}$ (ff. 320-327); XLII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 328-335); XLIII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 336343); XLIV $^{8}$ (ff. 344-351); XLV $^{8}$ (ff. 352-359); XLVI $^{8}$ wants 8, probably blank (ff. 360-366); XLVII ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 367-374); XLVIII ${ }^{2+1}$ (ff. 375-377); XLIX ${ }^{8}$ (ff. 378-385); $\mathrm{L}^{10}$ wants 10 (ff. 386-394); iv modern paper endleaves (ff. d-g).

Structure and Division of Scribal Labor
Part I (core of 32 homilies in temporale and sanctorale order from Christmas to May: quires I-XIX, XXI-XXVII, XXXII-XXXVII):

A: Scribe 1: ff. iii, 1-23 (quires I-III); Scribe 2: ff. 24-87 (quires IV-XI), ff. 160-201 (quires XXI-XXV); Scribe 3: ff. 88-149 (quires XII-XIX), ff. 202-17 (quires XXVI-XXVII);

B: Scribe 4: ff. 248-87 (quires XXXII-XXXVI). Item 43 (begun by Scribe 4) is completed by Scribe 6 (ff. 288-91 in quire XXXVII).

Part II (nearly contemporary additions: quires XX, XXVIII-XXXI, XXXVIII-XLVI, XLIX-L):

Booklet II. 1 (two Lenten homilies): Scribe 5: ff.150-9 (quire XX);
Booklet II. 2 (homilies for Easter and for saints): Scribe 5: ff. 218-47 (quires XXVIII-XXXI, with change of ink at f. 245r/12).

Booklet II. 3 (homilies for saints and evangelists and Lent): Scribe 6: ff. 291-321r (additional content continued from quire XXXVII, quires XXXVIII-XL, part of quire XLI).

Booklet II. 4 (homilies for saints' days in August, September, November)

Booklet II.4.1: Scribe 7: ff. 328-50r/6, Scribe 8: ff. 350r/7-359 (quires XLII-XLV);

Booklet II.4.2: Scribe 7: ff. 360-66 (Scribe 8a: f. 366v/6-25) (quire XLVI).

Booklet II.4.3: Scribe 7: ff. 378-85 (quire XLIX).
Booklet II.4.4: Scribe 6: ff. 386-94 (quire L).
Part III (later 11c additions of homilies for Bartholomew, John and on Genesis: quires XLVII-XLVIII):

Booklet III.1 (added in Booklet II.3): Scribe 9: f. 321v, Scribe 10: ff. 322-7 (the part of quire XLI left blank by Scribe 6);

Booklet III.2:
III.2.1: Scribe 9: ff. 367-74v/9 (quire XLVII).
III.2.2: Scribe 11: ff. 374v/10-377r/6 (end of quire XLVII, quire XLVIII).
[Note: Scribe 5 was probably the rubricator of Part I, and may have been the rubricator of Items 54 and 55 (ff. 350-66) where the text was written by Scribes 8 and 7 respectively.]

## CONTENTS:

OE Homilies
[Note: Items added after the initial compilation have been retained in their manuscript order, but given numbers in [square brackets] that indicate their supply in a subsequent phase of enlargement (as in Ker).]
ff. a-c blank modern paper endleaves
f. i* recto blank except for the numbers 'S.8' and '198'.
f．$i^{*}$ verso blank，though 15 c kitchen accounts faintly visible through the pa－ per pasted on the membrane below（cf．Budny 1997：1．562）．
a．f．ii＊recto Parkerian heading：＇Sermones saxonici $1 \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{a}}$＇above an 11 c out－ line drawing of six apostles in two rows of three，with some shading in red and mauve；stiff and crinkly drapery folds，the top row＇s first two figures bearded，and the figures in the four corners holding books in a style late 11c，＇provincial＇，or＇late and somewhat degenerate＇（Budny 1997：1．567）．The top row probably represents John，Andrew，and Peter （James 1909－12：1．475；Kauffmann 1978：43；also Temple 1976：105， 240）；Budny（1997：1．567）suggests that the top row＇s center figure is Andrew and the top row＇s right figure is Peter，with the leftmost figure in row 2 being John．Biggs（2008）argues that the four corner figures are the evangelists，with the two central figures being Peter（in the top row）and Paul（center row 2）．
b．f．ii＊verso Parkerian table of contents in two columns with folio numbers for each item，headed＇Quartus liber＇（16c）：Index sermonu $\langle m\rangle$ in｜hoc codice vt le｜gu $\langle\mathbf{n}\rangle t\langle\mathbf{u r}\rangle$ in anno．｜＇Sermo in natale $\mathbf{d}\langle 0\rangle \mathrm{m}\langle$ ini $\rangle$ l．＇；ends： ＇De Virginitate．395＇．
［Note：The MS now lacks this last item，＇De virginitate＇］
c．f．iii＊recto／1－iii＊verso／6 Table of contents by Scribe 1 for arts．1－31 head－ ed in the same 16 c Parkerian hand in red ink Index sermonu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ in anno vt scribuntur in hoc volumine．with folio numbers added 16 c ， perhaps by Joscelyn：＇I Sermo in natale d〈omi〉ni．（fol．1）＇；ends：＇XXXI $\mathrm{N}\langle$ onas $\rangle$ mai〈us）．inuentio $s\langle$ an $\rangle c\langle t\rangle e$ crucis．（fol．213）．
d．ff．iii＊verso／a7－bl3 Continuation of contents list by the＂Tremulous Hand＂of Worcester in two columns：＇xxxii．alexandri euentis et the－ adoli．（fol．215）＇；ends：＇ $\mathrm{xx} . \mathrm{s}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t}\rangle \mathrm{i}$ andree．（fol．387）＇．Remainder of column b blank but for 16 c additions to contents list．
1．ff． $1 \mathrm{r} / 3-7 \mathrm{r} / 4$ Vercelli Homily 5 （HomS 1 （ScraggVerc 5））：Sermo in na－ tale $\mathrm{d}\langle\mathrm{omi}\rangle \mathrm{ni} \mid \quad$＇ $\mathrm{P}\langle æ \mathrm{et}\rangle$ halige godspell be ðære hean［gl．：＇sum〈m＞e＇］ medom｜nysse［gl．：＇dignitate $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ］＇pisse halgan tide＇；ends：＇7 gastlice｜ heriað ures drihtnes naman hælendes $\mid$ cristes．Se ðe leofað ${ }_{7}$ rixað to widan ealdre．｜AM〈EN）＇（coll．Förster 1932：107－31 and Scragg 1992： 111－21）．Top three lines left blank by the original scribe with 16 c head－ ing supplied in red＇Ælfricus Abbas transtulit＇．
2．ff．7r／4－12v／18 Ælfric，Stephen（ÆCHom I，3）：In nat $\langle\mathbf{a}\rangle\langle(\mathrm{e}\rangle \mathrm{S}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}$ Stephani p $\langle$ ro〉tomartyr．｜＇We rædað on ðære béc pe is gehaten actus｜ apostolo $\langle$ rum $\rangle$＇；ends：＇on heora geferæ｜denne．mid $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ he wuldrað ${ }_{7}$ blissað．a on｜ecnysse AM〈EN〉＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：198／1－205／202）．

3．ff．12v／19－21r／22 Ælfric，Assumption of St．John（ÆCHom I，4）：In as－ sumptione $\mathbf{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle \mathbf{i}$ Iohannis ap＜osto $\rangle$ li．｜＇IOhannes se godspellere cristes dyrling．｜wearð on ðyssu〈m〉 dæge＇；ends：＇pæs hi〈m〉 getiðað driht〈en〉 crist． $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ is $\mid$ wurðmynt 7 wuldor mid fæder 7 mid｜sunu 7 mid halgu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ gaste．a butan ende．AM〈EN〉’（coll．Clemoes 1997： 206／1－216／284）．
4．ff．21r／23－27v／4 Ælfric，Innocents（on Mt．21－23）（ÆCHom I，5）：v．k〈a〉－ $1\langle$ endas $\rangle$ ．Ian〈uarii〉．IN nat $\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\langle\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ ．Innocent［i］um｜＇Nu todæg godes gelaðung geond［gl．：＇$p\langle e r\rangle$＇］ealne｜ymbhwyrft＇；ends：＇ 7 singað｜pone niwan lofsang pa〈m〉 ælmihtigu〈m〉｜gode to wurðmynte．se ðe leofað｜ 7 rixað á buton ende Amen＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：217／1－223／189）．
［Note：In the outer right margin of f．20r／1－7，a variant version of a 12c Latin drinking poem，perhaps by Hugo Primas（c．1090－1160），Walther no．5712：＇Me $\mathrm{g}\langle\mathrm{ra}\rangle$ uat $\mathrm{h}\langle\mathrm{ic}\rangle \mathrm{e}\langle\mathrm{ss}\rangle \mathrm{e} \mid \mathrm{q}\langle\mathrm{ua}\rangle \mathrm{m}$ potare necesse｜uinu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ de messe｜que $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ nos $\langle\mathrm{con}\rangle$－ sueuim〈us〉e〈ss＞e｜Poto s〈ed $\rangle$ inuite｜potu $\langle m\rangle$ p〈ro〉bo gentis a［uite］｜Vinum de uite q〈ua〉n［do］｜uitis uia uite＇．See Lehmann 1922： 10 and Pitra 1855：3．472］
5．ff．27v／6－34v／20 Ælfric，Circumcison（on Lk． 2.21 and Gen．17．1－23， 21．4）（ÆCHom I，6）： $\mathbf{k}\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\langle\langle$ endas $\rangle$ ．ian〈uarii〉．circumcisio d〈omi〉ni｜ ＇Se godspellere lucas beleac pis｜dægðerlice godspel mid feawu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ wordu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$＇；ends：＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ is ongemete． 7 on getele $\mid 7$ on hefe．Sý hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ wuldor 7 lóf á on｜ecnysse．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：224／1－ 231／203）．
6．ff．34v／21－43v／22：Ælfric，Epiphany（on Mt．2．1－12）（ÆCHom I，7）：IN epiphania d $\langle$ omi $\rangle$ ni｜＇Men pa leofostan nu for feawum｜dagu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ we oferreddon pis godspel＇；ends：＇7 anrædu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$［gl．‘（con）stanti＇］mode．｜ se ðe leofað 7 rixað mid fæder $\mid 7$ halgu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ gaste．on ealra worulda｜ woruld．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：232／1－240／263）．
7．ff．43v／22－3［lines 24－6 blank］；44r／1－47r／14 Vercelli Homily 8，First Sunday After Epiphany（HomS 3 （ScraggVerc 8））：Dominica．I．｜post theophania domini｜｜＇Monað us 7 mengað on $\operatorname{pisu}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ bocu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ hu $s\langle a n\rangle c\langle t u\rangle s$ gregorius se halga writere $\mid$ se ðis write sette 7 wrát＇；ends： ${ }^{\prime} 7$ gecorenu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ．pa $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ godes $\mid$ sunu si lóf pe leofað 7 rixat｜mid fæder 7 mid halgu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ gaste｜á to widan feore．AMEN’（coll．Förster 1932： 149／1－159／112，Scragg 1992：143／1－148／102）．
8．ff．47r／15－57v／15 Ælfric，Second Sunday After Epiphany（on Jn．2．1－11） （ÆCHom II，4）：domica［sic］．II．post theophania d＜omi〉ni｜＇Nuptie facte s〈un〉t in chaná galileę．｜\＆erat mater iesu ibi．｜Iohannes se god－ spellere cwæð｜on ðære godspellican race＇；ends：＇7 swa $\mid$ getrymde his leorningenihta $\mid$ geleafan．hælend crist se ðe leofað $\mid 7$ rixað mid fæder

7 mid sunu $\mid 7$ mid $\partial a\langle\mathrm{~m}\rangle$ halgan gaste．in eallra｜wurulda woruld．a buton ende｜AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：29／1－40／325）．
9．ff．57v／16－64v／12 Ælfric，Third Sunday After Epiphany（on Mt．8．1－ 13）（历CHom I，8）：DOMINICA ．III．p $\langle o s t\rangle$ theophania．｜＇Cum de－ scendissed［sic］ie〈su〉s de $\mid$ monte secute sunt eu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ turbe $\mid$ multe．et reliqua．｜Matheus se eadiga godspelle｜re awrat on ðissere godspel｜lican redinge＇；ends：＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ hi synt Øry $\mid$ on hadu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle_{7}$ on namu〈 $\left.{ }^{2}\right\rangle .7$ an god on anre $\mid$ godcundnysse $æ f r e$ wuniende buton $\mid$ anginne 7 ende AMEN＇ （coll．Clemoes 1997：241／1－248／210）．
10．ff．64v／13－73r／22：Ælfric，Purification（on Lk．2．22－40）（ÆCHom I，9）： ．IIII．NONUS．Febr〈uarii〉．IN purificatio｜ne S〈an＞c〈t＞e Marie．｜＇God bebead on ðære ealdan ǽ． 7 hét｜moyses pone heretogan $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ he hit｜ awrite＇；ends：＇se ðe｜us alysde fra〈m〉 pystru〈m〉． 7 us ge｜bringp to ðam ecan life．｜Se ðe leofað 7 rixað a buton｜ENDE．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：249／1－257／251）．
［Note：On film，four shots of opening $79 \mathrm{v}-80$ r．Fiche omits ff． $80 \mathrm{v}-84 \mathrm{r}$ ；they are provided on supplemental fiche．］
11．ff．73r／23－81r／15 Ælfric，Gregory（ÆCHom II，9）：IIII．ID〈US $\rangle$ ．IN nat $\langle\mathbf{a}\rangle 1\langle\mathbf{e}\rangle . \mathbf{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle \mathbf{i}$ ．gregorii．pape．｜＇Gregorius se halga papa｜en－ gliscre peode apostol｜on pisum andweardu〈m〉 dæge＇；ends：＇7 syððan on ðisu〈m〉dæge｜gewat to ðam ecan setle heofonan｜rices．on ða〈m〉 he leofað mid gode｜ælmihtigu〈m〉．á on ecnysse｜AMEN＇（coll．God－ den 1979：72／1－80／260）．
12．ff．81r／15－90r／13 Ælfric，Cuthbert（ÆCHom II，10）：XIII．k〈a〉l〈endas〉． apr $\langle\mathbf{i}\rangle\langle\langle i s\rangle$ ．IN｜nat $\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle l\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ ． $\mathrm{S}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \mathrm{c}\langle\mathrm{t}\rangle \mathrm{i}$ cuhtberhti［sic］ep $\langle\mathrm{iscop}\rangle \mathrm{i}$ ．｜ ＇Cuðberhtus se halga bisceop｜scinende on manegum geearnun｜gum＇； ends：‘se ðe｜his gecórenan swa cýstelice wurðað．æfter deadlicu〈m〉 ｜life mid hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ libbendu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ．á on ecnysse ealra worulda｜woruld． AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：81／1－91／341）．
13．ff．90r／13－103v／25 Ælfric，Benedict（ÆCHom II，11）：In natale $s\langle a n\rangle c\langle t\rangle i$ benedicti ab／batis｜＇Benedictus se halga abbud on ðisu〈m〉｜andwear－ dan dæge gewát of ðisum deadlican｜life’；ends：‘ðe se｜ælmihtigan scyppend ðurh pisne æðelan wer $\mid$ middanearde geswutelode．Sy him simle｜wuldor． 7 lof á on ecnysse mid eallum his $\mid$ halgum．se ðe ana is unasæcgendlic god．AM〈EN〉＇（coll．Godden 1979：92／1－109／588）．
14．ff．103v／26－110r／6：Ælfric，Annunciation（on Lk．1．26－28）（ÆCHom I，13）：In adnuntiatione $s\langle a n\rangle c\langle t\rangle e$ Marie．｜｜＇Ure se ælmihtiga scyp－ pend se ðe ealle gesceafta｜buton ælcon antimbre purh his wisdom ｜gesceop＇；ends：‘se ðe｜gewylt［gl．：＇regit＇］ealra ðinga mid fæder． 7
mid ðam｜halg u ＇m［correcting＇halgam＇］gaste á on ecn＇y＇sse．AMEN＇ （coll．Clemoes 1997：281／1－289／225）．
［Note：At top left outer margin of f．107v，a contemporary insertion mark and addition of omitted OE text，as Clemoes 1997：285／134．］
15．ff．110r／7－117r／17 Ælfric，Septuagesima（on Mt．20．1－16）（ÆCHom II， 5）：Dominica In septuagessima｜＇Simile est regnum celorum homini patri familias．｜qui exiit primo mane．｜Se hæl（e）nd cwæð．p〈æt〉 heo－ fonan rice＇；ends：＇on ðam［gl．：＇i＜n〉 q〈uo〉＇］we him singað ecelice al－ leluan．｜buton geswince．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：41／2－51／287）． New line begun by large black capital＇W＇at f．115v／22（at Godden 1979：49／233）．
［Note：The＂Tremulous Hand＂makes a particularly extensive note in the lower right outer margin of f． 116 r from Jeremiah 7．34：＇jeremia $\langle\mathrm{s}\rangle$ cap $\langle u t\rangle$｜vii．et $\mathrm{q}\langle\mathrm{ui}\rangle$ esc $\langle\mathrm{er}\rangle \mathrm{e}$ facia $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ．．．enim erit $\mathrm{t}\langle\mathrm{er}\rangle$ ra．］
16．ff．117r／23－122v／9：Ælfric，Sexagesima（on Lk．8．4－15）（ÆCHom II， 6）：Dom［in］ica in sextagessima．｜＇Cum turba plurima conuenirent ad ie〈su〉m．｜\＆de cifitatibus［sic］properarent ad ie〈su〉m．\＆reliq〈ua〉．｜ On sume tide ðа ðа micel meniu samod｜comon to ðam hælende＇； ends：＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ ge mid $\mid$ him． 7 his halg＇$u$＇m［correcting＇halgam＇］ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ ece lif habban moton．｜on ealra worulda woruld．AMEN＇（coll．God－ den 1979：52／1－59／206）．
17．ff．122v／10－128v／2 Ælfric，Quinquagesima（on Lk．18．31－43）（ÆCHom I，10）：Domin ${ }^{\prime}$＇ca In quinquagessima｜＇Assumpsit ie〈su）s ．xii．dis－ cipulos suos．｜Her is geræd on ðisum godspelle $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ we gehyrdon｜ of ðæs diacones muðe＇；ends：＇$\langle\langle æ t\rangle$ is eall folc pe $p\langle æ t\rangle$ ge｜seah herede god．se ðe leofað 7 rixað．a buton ende．AM〈EN〉’（coll．Clemoes 1997： 258／1－265／204）．
18．ff．128v／3－132v／19：Ælfric，First Sunday in Lent（ÆCHom II，7）：Domi－ nica In quadragessima．｜＇Men ða leofostan．eow eallum is cuð $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t$ ） ðes［gl．：‘isti〈us〉’］gear｜lica ymbrine us gebringð＇；ends：‘ 7 his gecore－ num englum．Mid［gl．：＇cu〈m＞＇］｜ðam［gl．：＇q〈ua〉’］hi libbað 7 rixiað on lichoman 7 on sawle｜on eallra worulda woruld á buton ende．AMEN＇ （coll．Godden 1979：60／1－66／179）．
19．ff．132v／20－137r／13：Vercelli Homily 3，Second Sunday in Lent（HomS 11.2 （ScraggVerc 3））：Dominica ．ii．in quadragessima｜＇Men ða leofes－ tan．ic cyðe［gl．：‘dico’］eow $\mathrm{p}\langle æ ⿸$ § $\rangle$ ðreo ðing｜synd ærest on forewear－ dum＇；ends：＇pas ðing us gedafenað［gl．：‘oport〈et’＇］gefyllan mid｜ðæs ［gl．：＇illius＇］fult＇u＇me［correcting＇fulteme＇］pe mid fæder． 7 mid sunu 7 mid｜Øam halgum gaste leofað． 7 rixað purh ealra worulda woruld
a buton ende．AMEN＇（coll．Förster 1932：53／1－71／175，Scragg 1992： 73／1－83／161；as Belfour 1909：40／4－48／29）．
20．ff．137r／14－140v／18 Homily，Third Sunday in Lent（HomS 13 （Ass 11））： Dominica ．iii．in ．xl．｜＇Geherað nu men ða leofostan hu ðas hal｜gan bec eow mynegiað＇；ends：＇habban $\mathrm{p}\langle æ \mathrm{æt}\rangle$ ece｜lif on neorxnawanges gefean． mid fæder $\mid 7$ mid sunu 7 mid ðam halgum gaste on ealra $\mid$ worulda woruld a buton ende．AMEN＇（as Assmann 1889：138／1－143／144）．
［Note：Main scribe inserts missed text in outer left margin of f．138v，as at Assmann 1889：140／57．］
21．ff．140v／19－145r／8 Homily，Fourth Sunday in Lent（HomS 15 （Belf 6））：Dominica ．iiii．in xl．｜＇Men ða leofestan．we willað her spre｜can feawum wordum be ðam ðrym｜pingum＇；ends：‘ 7 fullfremedne willan． mid｜fæder 7 mid sunu 7 mid halgum gaste．ameN＇（as Belfour 1909： 50／1－58／15）．
22．ff．145r／9－149v／26 Homily，Fifth Sunday in Lent（HomS16（ass12））： Dominica（．．）．u．in xl．｜＇Men ða leofestan us is on ælcne sǽl［gl．： ＇time＇］｜geornlice to smeagenne［gl．：＇cogitare＇］＇；ends：＇pa us gegear－ wige［gl．：＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle\mathrm{re}\rangle$ paret＇］se driht $\langle\mathrm{en}\rangle$ pe mid fæder． 7 mid sunu $_{7}$ mid $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ halgu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ gaste leofað 7 rixað｜on ecnysse a buton ende．AMEN＇ （ed．Assmann 1889：144／1－150／158）．
Part II Quire XX（ff．150－9）added nearly contemporarily
［44］．ff．150r／1－152v／22 Ælfric，Second Sunday in Lent（on Mt．15．21－28） （ÆCHom II，8）：Dominica ．ii．in quadragessima｜＇Egressus inde ie〈su）s secessit in partes tiri \＆sidonis．｜（et reliqua erased）Drihten hælend ðreade［gl．：‘redarguit＇］mid wordu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ðæra｜iudeiscra pwyrnysse［gl．： ＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle\mathrm{ra} \mathrm{\rangle}$ uitate $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$＇］＇；ends：‘ 7 syððan æt heora halgu〈m〉｜byrgenu〈m〉 ða〈m〉 sy w｀u＇ldor 7 wurðmynt á on ecnysse．AM〈EN〉’（coll．Godden 1979：67／1－71／131）．
［Note：At the beginning in the right－hand margin of f．150r a hand of $12 / 13 \mathrm{c}$ has written＇Et ecce mulier ．．．vexat〈ur〉 \＆［c］＇＝continuation of the gospel text in place of the erasure of＇et reliqua．＇］
［45］．ff．153r／1－159v／18 Ælfric，Fifth Sunday in Lent（on Jn．8．46－59） （ÆCHom II，13）：Dominica．quinta in quadragessima．｜＇Peos tid fra〈m ðisu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ andweardan dæge oð ða halgan easter｜tide＇；ends：＇sy him lof 7 wul｜dor his ormætan eadmodnysse on ealra worulda｜woruld AMEN＇ （coll．Godden 1979：127／1－136／294）．Remainder of f．159v blank．
Part I（continued）
23．ff．160r／l－166v／12 Homily，Palm Sunday（HomS 18）：Passio d〈omi〉ni IN Ramis palmarum．｜＇Passio d〈omi〉ni n〈ost〉ri ie〈s〉u chr〈ist〉i s〈e〉－ $\mathrm{c}\langle u n\rangle \mathrm{d}\langle\mathrm{u}\rangle \mathrm{m}$ matheum｜Men ða leofestan pis is ures driht｜nes prowung
hælendes cristes｜æfter matheus gerecednysse＇；ends：＇ 7 mid ða〈m〉 halgu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ gaste á in ealra worulda woruld soðlice｜buton ende AMEN＇ （not printed；basically a translation of Mt．26－27 with a homiletic be－ ginning and end；cf．Cambridge，Corpus Christi College 41 ［27］，item 18 and Bodley 340 ［358］，item 23）．
24．ff．166v／13－174v／21 Homily，In Cena Domini（on Jn．13．1－30）（HomS 22 （CenDom 1））： $\mathrm{F}\langle\mathrm{E}\rangle \mathbf{R}\langle\mathrm{IA}\rangle$ quinta IN cena domini｜＇De huius diei ueneratione et de｜domini mis $\langle$ eri $\rangle\langle$（or $\rangle \mathrm{d}\langle$（ $i$ ）a in memoria æterne［isti］ （？${ }^{\text {iiiia}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ erased） $\mid$ iohannes euangelista uenera｜Sægeð［gl．：‘dicit＇］on ðisu〈 m$\rangle$ bocum be ðære ár｜wurðnesse pises halgan dæges． $\mid 7$ be ðære unasæcgendlican mild｜heortnysse＇；ends：＇God us gefultumige［gl．： ＇adiuuet＇］$p\langle æ t\rangle$ we｜to hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ becuman．moton．se ðe leofað $\mid 7$ rixað á on ecnysse AMEN＇（coll．Assmann 1889：151／1－163／272）．
25．ff．174v／22－186v／13 Vercelli Homily 1，De Parasceve（on Jn．18－19）for Good Friday（HomS 24.1 （Scragg））：F（E） $\mathcal{R}\langle I A\rangle$ ．VI．in parasceuen．｜ ＇Hwæt se ælmihtiga driht（en〉 wæs sy〈m〉le｜swiðe gemyndig his ðære unasecgend｜lican mildheortnysse＇；ends：＇ 7 on ecere｜eadignysse．purh eallra wurulda woruld｜a buton ende．AMEN＇（coll．Scragg 1992：7／1－ 43／381；cf．Förster 1932：1／1－43／378）．
26．ff．186v／14－196v／3 Homily，In Sabbato Sancto（HomS 25）：De Sabba－ to $s(a n) c(t) \mathbf{o}$ ．｜＇Men ða leofestan we magon hwilcum［gl．：＇aliq〈ua）＇］｜ hwega wordu〈 m$\rangle$ sæcgan be ðære arwurð｜nysse＇；ends：＇bæs hi〈m〉 sý simle lof ${ }_{7}$ wuldor． 7 seo ðancwurbeste［gl．：‘g（ra）tissima＇］herenys［gl．： ＇laus＇］｜a buton ende in ealra worulda woruld AM〈EN）＇（coll．Evans 1981：136－53 with collated forms on 143－53）．
27．ff．196v／4－202r／11 Ælfric，Easter（on Mk．16．1－7）（ÆCHom I，15）： dominica in die $\mathbf{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}(\mathrm{t})$ a pasce．｜＇Oft ge［gl．：＇vos＇］gehyrdon ymbe pæs hælendes｜ærist．hu he on ðisu〈 m$\rangle$ dæge of deaðe arás＇；ends：＇æt－ foran manegra manna｜gesihðe． 7 rixað mid pam ælmihtigum｜fæder 7 ðam halgum gaste．nu on ecnysse．AM〈EN〉＇（coll．Clemoes 1997： 299／1－306／194）．
28．ff．202r／12－206v／6 Ælfric，First Sunday after Easter（on Jn．20．19－31） （ÆCHom I，16）：Dominica ．I．Post pascha．｜＇Æfter pæs hælendes æriste wæron｜his discipuli belocene on anum｜huse＇；ends：＇wuniende on broðorlicre｜lufe．mid gode a on ecnysse．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：307／1－312／142）．
29．ff．206v／7－209r／18 Ælfric，Second Sunday after Easter（on Jn．10．11－ 16）（ÆCHom I，17）：Dominica ．ii．post pascha．｜＇Đis godspel pe nu geræd wæs cyð［gl．：‘dicit＇］p〈æt〉 se｜hælend cwæde be him sylfum＇； ends：＇he is ana heora ealra hyrde．se ðe leofað｜ 7 rixað mid fæder． 7
mid ðam halgum｜gaste＇a＇on ecnysse．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997： 313／1－316／89）．
30．ff．209r／18－213r／22 Ælfric，Philip and James（ÆCHom II，18；Godden 2．17）： $\mathrm{K}\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ 〈endas $\rangle$ mai｜In natale apostolor〈um $\rangle$ philippi et iacobi． ｜＇Philippus se godes apostol pe we on｜ðisum dæge wurðiað＇；ends： ＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ hi us ðingian｜to urum scippende．Se ðe ana rixað on ec｜nysse god．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：169／1－173／135）．The beginning of the section on St．James（Godden 1979：171／62）is marked by a red ini－ tial＇W＇，but no title．
31．ff．213r／22－217v／15 Ælfric，Invention of the Cross（ÆCHom II，19； Godden 2．18）：．V．NON $\langle A S\rangle . K\langle a\rangle l\langle e n d a s\rangle$ ．MAI｜inuentio $S\langle a n\rangle c\langle t\rangle e$ crucis．｜＇Men ða leofestan．nu todæg we｜wurðiað pære halgan rode＇； ends：‘oð ðisne and｜weardan dæg．Sy［gl．：＇sit’］ðam ælmihtigan lof se ðe \｜ana rixað．á on ecnysse god．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：174／1－ 179／156）．At f．215r／l3 the second part of the homily（Godden 1979： $176 / 62$ ）is marked by the heading＇eode $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ die passio．alexandri． euenti 7 ðeodoli＇．Remainder of f．217v blank．
［Note：At outer right margin，lower corner of f．217r，the main scribe has inserted missed OE text，as at Godden 1979：178／140）．The subsection at f．215r／13（at Godden 1979：176／62）was taken by Ker as a separate item，hence the vacant number below．］
32．vacant number
Part II quires XXVIII－XXXI（ff．218－47）added nearly contemporaneously ［46］．ff．218r／l－226r／4 Ælfric，Easter（ÆCHom II，15）：In die S〈an $\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle \mathbf{o}$ pasce．｜＇Men ða leofostan gelome eow is geræd ymbe ures｜hælendes æriste’；ends：‘Si hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ wuldor 7 lof ðære weldæde［gl．：＇b〈e〉n〈e〉 op〈er〉－ ib〈us〉＇］．on ealra worulda．｜woruld．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979： 150／1－160／337）．
［47］．ff．226r／4－23lv／13：Ælfric，Easter，on Lk．24．13－35（part l）and In． 21．1－12（part 2）（ÆCHom II， 16 and 17；Godden 2．16）：It $\langle\mathrm{em}\rangle$ alia de $\mathrm{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle \mathbf{o}$ pasce［marg．gl．＇in die pasce＇］｜＇Hit is swiðe gedafenlic ［gl．：＇oportun〈e〉’］．p〈æt〉 ge［gl．：＇vos＇］on ðisum driht〈en〉licum｜æriste’； ends：＇ 7 godum gearnungum to $\mid$ Øam ecum life becumon moton． AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：161／1－168／225）．At f．228v／2 the second part of the homily（Godden 1979：164／97）is marked by the heading ＇alia de $\mathbf{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle \mathbf{a}$ pasce＇and a large initial capital．
［Note：The subsection at f．228v／2（at Godden 1979：164／97）was taken by Ker as a separate item，hence the vacant number below．］
48．vacant number
［49］．ff．231v／14－236v／17 Ælfric，Martyrs（on Lk．21．9－19）（ÆCHom II，42； Godden 2．37）：In natale $\mathbf{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle$ orum．Martyrum｜＇Cum audieritis prelia \＆sediction＇e＇s［correcting＇sedictionis＇］nolite terreri．\＆r $\langle e\rangle l\langle i\rangle-$ $\mathrm{q}\langle u a\rangle . \mid$ Se hælend forsæde his leorningenihtu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ðises middan｜eardes frecednyssa’；ends：‘ðe he ær middaneardes｜frymðe．his geleaffullum ［gl．：＇fidelib〈us〉＇］gearcode sy him wuldor $\mid 7$ wurðmynt on ealra wor－ ulda woruld．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：310／1－317／206）．
［50］．ff．236v／18－242v／5 Ælfric，Confessor（ÆCHom II，43；Godden 2．38）： In natale unius confessoris｜＇Homo quidam peregre proficisens uo－ cauit seruos suos｜\＆tradidit illis bona sua \＆reliqua｜Ure drihten sæde pis bispel his leorningcnihtum．｜he cwæð $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ sum rice mann wolde faran on ælpeodig｜ne［gl．：＇p＜er＞eg｀e＇ranat $\langle\mathrm{i}\rangle \mathrm{o}\langle\mathrm{n}\rangle \mathrm{e}$＇［sic］］eard＇；ends： ＇Sy lof ða〈m〉（．．）＇l＇aforde ðe leofað｜on ecnysse．æfre buton anginne on endeleasu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ mæ｜genðry〈m〉me．AM〈EN〉’（coll．Godden 1979： 318／1－326／247）．
［51］．ff．242v／5－247v／23：Ælfric，Virgins（ÆCHom II，44；Godden 2．39）： In natale $\mathbf{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle$ arum uirginum．｜＇Simile est regnu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ celoru $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ dece $\langle m\rangle$ uirginibus que acipien｜tes $\operatorname{la}\langle m\rangle$ padas suas exierunt obuia $\langle m\rangle$ sponso \＆sponse \＆r $\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle l\langle i\rangle q\langle u a\rangle \mid$ Se hælend sæde gelo〈m〉lice big－ spel be gehwylcu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ðingu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ his leorningcnihtu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$＇；ends imperf．： ＇Nat nan｜man pyssere worulde geendunge．ne f＇u＇rðon［correcting ＇forðon＇］his＇（coll．Godden 1979：327／1－333／207）．
［Note：The last leaf of quire XXXI was missing and the text was incomplete already in the 13 c when the tremulous hand wrote at the bottom of f ． 247 v ＇deficit＇．］
Part I continued
33．ff．248r／1－253v／17 Ælfric，Ascension（on Acts 1．3－15，supplemented by Lk．24．50－3 and Mk．16．14－20）（ÆCHom I，21）：In ascensio［ne］ Domini｜＇Lucas se godspellere．us monade on ðissere pistol｜rædinge＇； ends：＇oð ðissere worulde geendunge．｜se pe leofað 7 rixað mid $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ælmihtigu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ fæder． $7 \mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ halgan gaste á on ecnysse．AMEN＇（coll． Clemoes 1997：345／1－353／244）．
34．ff．253v／18－259v／15：Ælfric，Pentecost（ÆCHom I，22）：In die pente－ costen．｜＇Fram pa〈m＞halgan easterlican dæge．synd getealde｜fiftig daga to pisu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ dæge＇；ends：＇hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ sænde pa seofon｜fealdan gife his gastes．se ðe leofað． 7 rixað．á buton｜ende．AMEN＇；（coll．Clemoes 1997：354／1－364／256）．
［Note：At top edge of f．255r，a 12c hand has added fragment from John 12.24 amen amen dico uob〈is〉 nis［i］granu〈 m$\rangle$ frumenti＇．］
35．ff．259v／16－263v／8 Ælfric，Second Sunday after Pentecost on Lk．16．19－ 31 （历CHom I，23）：dom〈ini〉c〈a〉．I．post octafas［sic］pentecosten｜
＇Homo quida $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ erat diues \＆induebat〈ur〉 purpura．\＆r $\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle \\langle$ iqua $\rangle \mid \mathrm{Se}$ wealdenda driht〈en〉．sæde pis bigspell his gingru $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$｜pus cweðende． Sum welif mann＇；ends：＇hi prý on anre godcund｜nysse wunigende buton anginne $7_{7}$ ende á to weo｜rulde．AM〈EN）’（coll．Clemoes 1997： 365／1－370／167）．
36．ff．263v／8－267v／18 Ælfric，Third Sunday after Pentecost（on Lk．14．16－ 24）（ÆCHom II， 26 and 27；Godden 2．23）：dom〈ini〉c〈a〉 ．II．post octa－ fas pentecosten｜＇$[\mathrm{H}]$ omo quida $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ fecit cena $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ magna $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ．\＆rel $\langle\mathrm{i}\rangle$－ q〈ua〉｜Se hælend sæde pis bigspell his leorningcnihtu〈m〉｜ 7 cwæð $\mathrm{Su}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ man gearcode［gl．：＇fecit＇］micelle feorme［gl．：＇cena $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$＇］＇；ends： ＇purh godre gehealdsu〈m＞nysse［gl．：＇custodia＇］．pa〈m〉 sý wuldor｜ 7 wurðmynt a to worulde．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：213／1－220／198）． The second part（at Godden 1979：217／126），is marked by a line－break and large initial capital at f ． $266 \mathrm{r} / 16$ ，in which the title is given in a 16 c hand，＇Alia narratio de euangelii textu＇．
［Note：The subsection at f．266r／16（at Godden 1979：217／126）was taken by Ker as a separate item，hence the vacant number below．］
37．vacant number
38．ff．267v／18－273r／20 Ælfric，John the Baptist（on Lk．1．5－17，57－68） （ÆCHom I，25）：VIII．K〈a〉l＜endas〉 iuli〈us）．｜Natifitas S〈an〉c〈t）i Iohannis baptista．｜＇Se godspellere lucas awrat．on cristes béc．be｜ acennednysse iohannes＇；ends：＇on｜andweardu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ life． 7 to $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ecan gelǽde． $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ sý wuldor $\mid 7$ lof mid fæder 7 halgu〈m〉 gaste．á on ec－ nysse．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：379／1－387／226）．
39．ff．273r／21－278v／18 Ælfric，Peter and Paul（on Acts 12．1－23 and Mt． 14．22－36）（ÆCHom II，28；Godden 2．24）：．VIII．k〈a〉l〈endas〉 iuli〈ii〉． In natale $\mathrm{S}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathrm{c}\langle\mathrm{t}\rangle \mathrm{i}$ petri apostoli｜＇Lucas se godspellere us sǽde on ðissere pistolrædinge＇；ends：＇petres． 7 paules｜ða pe we todæg weorðiað．Sy hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ wuldor 7 lof on ealra｜worulda woruld a to widan feore．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：221／1－229／253）．The second part is marked by the heading＇Ite $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ alia de petre＇and a large initial capital at f．274v／3－4（at Godden 1979：223／53）．
［Note：The subsection at f．274v／3－4（at Godden 1979：223／53）was taken by Ker as a separate item，hence the vacant number below．］
40．vacant number
41．ff．278v／18－286r／6 Ælfric，Peter and Paul（on Mt．16．13－19）（ÆCHom I，26）：III．$k\langle a\rangle\langle\langle$ endas $\rangle$ iul $\langle i i\rangle|$ IN natale $\mathrm{S}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \mathrm{c}\langle\mathrm{t}\rangle$ i petri apostoli．Hoc est euangeliu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$＇Venit ie $\langle$ su $\rangle$ s in partes cesare philippi．\＆r $\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle l\langle$ iqua $\rangle$ ． ｜MATHEUS se godspellere．awrát on ðære godspellican｜gesetnysse． pus cweðende＇；new heading at f．281r／11：Passio ap〈osto〉lo〈rum）
pe／tri 7 pauli（at Clemoes 1997：391／97）；ends：‘ðurh ðæs hælendes tyðe．pa $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ sý wuldor 7 lof á on｜ecnysse．AMEN’（coll．Clemoes 1997： 388／1－399／295）．
［Note：The subsection at f． $281 \mathrm{r} / 11$（at Clemoes 1997：391／97）was taken by Ker as a separate item，hence the vacant number below．］
42．vacant number
43．ff．286r／7－291v／5 Ælfric，Paul（on Acts 9．1－19 and Mt．19．27－9） （ÆCHom I，27）：II． $\mathrm{k}\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\langle\langle$ endas $\rangle$ iul $\langle\mathrm{ii}\rangle$ IN natale． $\mathrm{S}\langle a n\rangle c\langle t\rangle$ i．pauli ap $\langle$ osto $\rangle \mathrm{li}$｜＇Godes gelaðung wurðað pisne dæg pa〈 m$\rangle$ mæran｜aposto－ le paule to wurðmynte＇；ends：＇$p\langle æ t\rangle$ hi mid hi $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle 7$ mid gode $p\langle æ t\rangle$ ece lif habban｜moton．sy lof pam wélwillendan drihtne ealra his welena｜á on ecnysse．aMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：400／1－409／250）．
［Note：At f．280v／19－20，a line and half erased at Clemoes 1997：391／82．At f．289r， outer right margin，nearly contemporary insertion of omitted text，as at Clemoes 1997：405／134．］
Part II continued，added nearly contemporaneously
［58］．ff．291v／5－295r／2 Ælfric，James and the Seven Sleepers（ÆCHom II， 31－32；Godden 2．27）：PASSIO．NATALE｜SANCTI．IACOBI．APOS－ TOLI．｜＇On pisum dæge we wurpiap on urum lófsangum 7 on freolse ［gl．：‘festiuita〈te’’］｜pone mæran ap〈osto〉l．iacob＇；ends：＇ 7 hi sigefæste samod férdon｜｜to críste．pam is wuldor． 7 wurðmynt．on ealra worulda ｜woruld．Amen＇（coll．Godden 1979：241／1－247／181）．
［59］．ff．295r／2－298v／10 Ælfric，Saint Mark（ÆLS（Mark）；Skeat 15）：DE QVARTA．｜EUUANGELISTAS．MATHEUS．MARCVS．LVCAS． IOHANNES．｜＇［M］arcus se godspellere be godes dihte fór［gl．：＇ibit＇］ to egypta lánde＇；ends：＇We éndiað pus ðas gesetnysse hér． 7 sy pam wél｜willendan hǽlende．á wuldor 7 lóf buton ælcum énde｜on écnysse． AmeN＇（coll．Skeat 1881－1900：1．320／1－336／226）．
［60］．ff．298v／11－306v／3 Ælfric，Saint Sebastian（ÆLS（Sebastian）；Skeat 5）XIII． $\mathrm{k}\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\langle$ endas $\rangle \mathrm{FEB}\langle$ RUARII $\rangle$ ．PASSIO $\mathrm{S}\langle A N\rangle C\langle T\rangle I$ ．SEBAS－ TIANI．MARTYR．｜＇Sebastianus hatte su〈m〉 halig godes pegen．se wæs lánge｜on láre＇；ends：＇se pe gewealt ealle píncg．｜rixigende．á á on ecnysse éces wuldres cyning｜AMEN＇（coll．Skeat 1881－1900：I．116／1－ 146／474）．
［61］．ff．306v／5－311v／15 Ælfric，First Sunday in Lent（on Mt．4．1－11） （ÆCHom I，11）：DOMINICA．IN QVADRAGESSIMO ．III．｜＇Ductus〈est＞ie（su〉s in desertum a spiritu．\＆reliqua．｜Ic wólde eow tra＇$h$＇tnian． pis godspell pe man nú beforan｜eow rædde＇；ends：＇for his lufan｜se pe leofap 7 rixað á butan énde on écnysse．AmeN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997： 266／1－274／22）．
［62］．ff．311v／16－316r／10 Composite Lenten Homily：INCIPIT DE P $\langle\mathbf{E}\rangle-$ NITENTIA．IN QVADRAGESSIMA．｜＇Lǽwedum mannum is to wi－ tane． $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ ælc man byp gefullod｜on naman pære halgan prynnysse＇； at $\mathrm{f} .314 \mathrm{r} / 20$ ，after the former ending of Ælfric＇s Admonitions in Lent （ÆAdmon 2），＇on unasecgendlicre blisse．á on écnysse buton｜æghwil－ cum énde，the text continues with an extract from Blickling Homily X （HomU 20 （BlHom 10）），beginning＇us＇is＇ponn〈e〉 mycel nydpearf．｜ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ \mathrm{æt}\rangle$ we pencean us sy｀l＇fe 7 gemunan＇；ends：‘ðam heofonlican cyn－ inge．｜sy lof se lyfað 7 rixað in ealra worulda woruld｜á butan énde on écnysse．AMEN＇（as Thorpe 1844－6：2．602／1－608／14，then as Morris 1874－80：111／15－115／25．For discussion，see Swan 2006）．
［63］．ff．316r／11－321r／22 Ælfric，Dominica IIII in Quadragesima（on Lk． 11．14－28；also Mt． 12.22 ff．）（ÆHom 4）：（headed in red 13c）Domi－ nica iii ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in Quadrag〈esima〉｜＇Erat ie〈su〉s eiciens demonium \＆illud erat mutu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle . \& r\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle\langle\langle\mathrm{i}\rangle \mathrm{q}\langle\mathrm{ua}\rangle$.$| On pære mærran tide pe se mildheorta$ hælend｜wunode mid mannu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ；ends：＇ 7 mid｜geleafan gehéaldan． pæs us geunne se æl｜mihtiga wealdend．se pe á rixað．á on écnysse｜ AMEN＇（coll．Pope 1967－8：1．264／1－280／298，no．4；as Müller 1835： 19－27）．
［Note：At the end of item 63，f．321r／25－6，one line was left blank and three lines of writing have since been erased．Over the bottom two lines has been written（11c， 2nd half）：＇pis his $p\langle æ t\rangle$ boc $p\langle æ t\rangle$ ic．VLF l［．．］hebba tale wip（？）｜ilce［．］uman scyr （？）æ［．．］e＇mid min wæg＇me to handa Crist eow h［ealde］＇．As far as this can be deciphered it may be from a charter．］
Part III added in the second half of the 11c
［Note：Scribe 6 finished the homily on f .321 r ，as part of the additions of Part II． Apparently，ff． $321 \mathrm{v}-327 \mathrm{v}$ were left blank for some time，before scribes 9 and 10 filled out the empty quire with the following item，as part of the additions of Part III．］
［65］．ff．321v／l－327v／27 Ælfric，Bartholomew（ÆCHom I，31）：（headed in upper margin in red，13c）de $\mathbf{s}\langle\mathbf{a n}\rangle \mathbf{c}\langle\mathbf{t}\rangle \mathbf{o}$ Bartholomeo．：＇Wyrdwriteras secgap $\mathrm{p}\langle æ \mathrm{x}\rangle$ ðry leodscipas $\mid$ sind gehátene india＇；ends imperf．：‘ 7 mid fulfremedu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle \mid$ geðingðu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ gewat to drihtne． $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ is wurðm $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}$＇nt $7 \mid$ wuldor a on worulde．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：439／1－447／243）．
Part II continued
52．ff．328r／1－342v／10 Ælfric，The Maccabees（ÆLS（Maccabees）；Skeat 25）： $\mathrm{K}\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\langle\langle\mathrm{endas}\rangle$ Augustus Passio $\mathrm{S}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \mathrm{c}\langle\mathrm{t}\rangle$ oru $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ Machabeoru $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ ｜‘Æfter ðam ðe alexander se egefulla cyning｜todælde his rice’；ends： ＇forðan ðe hi furðon noldon ænne fugel \｜acwellan＇（coll．Skeat 1881－

1900：2．66／1－124／862）．Divided into 12 sections，numbered or marked with a paraph（or both）．
53．ff．342v／12－350r／6 Ælfric，Laurence（ÆCHom I，29）：．III．IDUS AGUS〈TI〉 PASSIO S〈AN〉C〈T〉I LAURENTII MAR〈TYRIS〉｜＇ON decius dæge pæs hreowan［gl．：‘c（ru）deli＇］caseres．wæs se halga｜biscop Sixtus on romana byrig＇；ends：＇mid ðam pe orsorhlice［gl．：＇secure＇］｜on ecnysse wuldrað．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：418／1－428／296）．
54．ff．350r／7－359r／15 Assumption of Mary the Virgin，Blickling Homily XIII（LS 20 （AssumptMor））：IN assumptione $\mathbf{S}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \subset\langle(t) \mathrm{e}$ Marie uirgi－ nis｜＇Men ða leofestan gehyrað nu hwæt her segð on｜ðissum bocum be ðære halgan $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$＇mnan $\mathrm{S}\langle\mathrm{an}\rangle \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{a} \mid$ marian＇；ends：＇to ðæm us gefult－ mige｜ure drihten．AMEN＇（as Morris 1874－80：137－59；part coll．and part ed．Willard 1936：4－5，8－10）．F． 359 lacks its lower half and the verso is blank．
55．ff．360r／1－366v／25 Ælfric，Dedicatio ecclesiae sancti Michaelis（ÆCHom I，34）：iii．$k\langle\mathbf{a}\rangle\langle$ endas $\rangle$ oct $\langle$ obri $\rangle$ ．dedicatio ecclesie $\mathbf{S}\langle a n\rangle\langle\langle t\rangle[\mathbf{i}]$ mi－ chaelis ar／chang $(\mathrm{e}\rangle \backslash(\mathrm{i}\rangle$｜＇Manegum［gl．：＇multis＇］is cuð seo halige stow

 ecnesse．AMEN＇（coll．Clemoes 1997：465／1－475／279）．
Part III continued
［66］．ff．367r／1－374v／9 Ælfric，De initio creaturae（ÆCHom I，1）：＇AN AN－ GINN IS EALRA ĐINGA Đætt is GOD ÆL｜mihtig．he is ordfruma． 7 ende．＇；ends：＇se pe ana on écnisse rixap．áá worulde．｜AMEN＇（coll． Clemoes 1997：178／4－189／296）．
［67］．ff．374v／10－377r／6＂De Sancto Iohanne＂（HomU 17.1 （Kluge E）），in－ cluding verse passages apparently adapted in part from＂The Phoenix＂： DE SANCTO IOHANNE（S）｜＇S〈AN〉C〈TU〉S IOH〈ANNE〉S GEseah ［gl．：＇vidit＇］ofer garsege［gl．：＇occeanu（m）＇］swilce hit｜land wære＇；ends： ＇ $\mathrm{p}\langle æ t\rangle$ we on wynne｜wunian moton．Se pe leofað 7 rixað soðlice．｜ mid fæder 7 sunu 7 mid pam halgan gaste｜á buton ænde．AMEN＇ （ed．Kluge 1885：477－9；ed．Blake 1990：98－100；on the relationship of the poem＂The Phoenix＂and the homily，see Kabir 2001：168－75）．Re－ mainder of page，f．377r／7－26，blank．
［68］．f．377v Excerpt of an Office of St Guthlac，partly with musical nota－ tion，added on the blank leaf towards the end of the 11c：＇secundo anno inquit beatus GVTHLACVS quo hanc heremum inhabitaui．．．panis or－ deaceus cum aqua．（ed．Birch 1881：70－1，and repr．in James 1909－12： 1．480；see Hartzell 2006：no．28）．
Part II continued

56．ff．378r／l－385v／3 Ælfric，Martin（ÆCHom II，39．1；Godden 2．34）：III． IDUS NOVE〈M〉B＜RIS〉 DEPOSS〈ITIO $S\langle A N\rangle C\langle T\rangle I$ MARTINI EP $\langle$ ISCOP $\rangle$ I｜＇Martinus se wuldorfulla godes andet｜tere wæs acænned of æðelborenu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ magu $\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$＇；ends：＇pe he on life gecwemde．｜Sy oðam ［sic］a wuldor on ecere worulde pe leofað 7 rixað｜pur｀h＇hine sylfne god．AMEN＇（coll．Godden 1979：288／1－297／332）．
57．f．385v／4－25 Ælfric，Excusatio dictantis（ÆCHom II，39．2；Godden 2．34．2）：EXCUSATIO DICTANTIS｜＇Fela fægere godspell we forlætað on pisum dihte［gl．disposit $\langle\mathrm{i}\rangle \mathrm{o}\langle\mathrm{n}\rangle \mathrm{e}$＇］．．．buton $\mathrm{pa}\langle\mathrm{m}\rangle$ anum［gl．＇pret $\langle\mathrm{er}\rangle$ hac solu〈m＞＇］pe a｜gustinus wiðsæcð＇（coll．Godden 1979：297／1－ 298／17）．Line 26 blank；large spill stains f．385v．
［64］．ff．386r／l－394v／20 Saint Andrew，Blickling Homily XIX（LS 1.1 （An－ drewBright））：SANCTE ANDREAE．｜＇Her segð．pæt æfter pam pe dri－ hten hælend｜crist＇to＇heofonu〈m〉 astah＇；ends：＇pam is｜wuldor．and geweald．［gl．：＇potestas＇］on pære halgan prynnysse．｜purh ealra wor－ ulda woruld soðlice a butan ende．｜AMEN＇（ed．Bright 1891：113－28； as Morris 1874－80：229－49）．The apostle＇s name and that of Matthew are in capitals throughout．
f．394v／21－6 The text originally written here has been totally erased ex－ cept for the large initial＇$H$＇．In the list of contents on $f$ ．iii＊verso by the Worcester＂Tremulous Hand＂the item after＇lx $S\langle a n\rangle c\langle t\rangle i$ andree fol． 387 ＇has been erased．On f．ii＊verso，the 16 c list of contents ends with ＇De Virginitate．395＇，and this was evidently the final item of which the beginning has been erased here．The last leaf of the quire $(\mathrm{L})$ is missing； presumably it contained the continuation of the text erased at the bot－ tom of f．394v．Ker（Cat．，81）supposed that Joscelyn quoted the word ＇sciccelse＇in London，Lambeth Palace MS 692，f． $24 v$ from what was the leaf after the next（f．396），but in fact he cited it from f． $164 v$ of the pres－ ent manuscript and the number＇ 396 ＇is a total of the number of entries Joscelyn wrote on f．24v of Lambeth 692；see Graham 2000：114－16．
ff．d－g modern paper endleaves blank，except for notation of folios center $f$ ． d recto：＇i＊－iii＊＋394ff＇．

PHOTO NOTES：F． $\mathrm{i}^{*}$ recto not shown and f．ii＊recto is too dark to see much．Dark shadow，especially in the gutters，occasionally obscures some readings，as on ff． $370 \mathrm{v}-37 \mathrm{lr}$ ，and some readings away from the gutter are very occasionally obscured also，as f．213．Some openings appear twice．Be－ tween Fiche 3 and 4，the spreads for ff． $80 v-81 r, 81 v-82 r, 82 v-83 r, 83 v-84 r$ were inadvertently omitted．They are included on a supplement．Digital
facsimile available at Parker Library on the Web (http://parkerweb.stanford. edu/parker/actions/page_turner.do?ms_no=198).
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